1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DONALD B. MOONEY (SBN 153721) Law Office of Donald B. Mooney 417 Mace Boulevard, Suite J-334 Davis, California 95618 Telephone: 530-758-2377 Facsimile: 530-212-7120 Attorney for Petitioner California State Park Rangers Association	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California County of Sonoma 6/7/2022 2:10 PM Arlene D. Junior, Clerk of the Court By: Jennifer Ellis, Deputy Clerk
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	IN AND FOR THE COU	NTY OF SONOMA
10 11	CALIFORNIA STATE PARKS RANGERS ASSOCIATION) Case No. SCV-268490
12	Petitioner)) [PROPOSE D] JUDGMENT) GRANTING PEREMPTORY
13	v.) WRIT OF MANDATE
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, and DOES 1 through 20	
15	Respondents	
16		Dept. 17
17 18	VALLEY OF THE MOON NATURAL HISTORY ASSOCIATION; TRANSCENDENCE THEATER COMPANY,	The Hon. René Auguste Chouteau
19	And DOES 21 through 40,	Action Filed: September 16, 2019
20	Real Parties in Interest	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

Petitioner California State Parks Rangers Association's Petition for Writ of Mandate came on regularly for hearing on the March 30, 2022, Law and Motion calendar, the Honorable René Auguste Chouteau presiding. Appearing at the proceedings were Donald B. Mooney on behalf of Petitioner California State Parks Rangers Association, Deputy Attorneys General Nicole Rinke and Andrew Contreiras_on behalf Respondent California State Parks and Recreation; Leslie R. Perry on behalf of the Real Party in Interest Transcendence Theater Company; and Susan Brandt-Hawley on behalf of the Real Party in Interest Valley of the Moon Natural History Association.

On April 4, 2022, this Court issued an Order After Hearing on Writ of Mandate, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A to this Judgment. (The final sentence "Respondent must also address the issues regarding the General Plan and PRC section 5001.96" is stricken from the Order.)

Having reviewed the record of Respondent's proceedings in this matter, which the Court admitted into evidence, the briefs submitted by counsel, and the arguments of counsel, and the matter having been submitted for decision, and having issued an order that judgment and a peremptory writ of mandate issue in this proceeding:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Judgment be entered in favor of Petitioner in this proceeding.
- 2. A peremptory writ of mandate directed to Respondent California Department of Parks and Recreation be issued under seal of this Court, ordering Respondent to:
 - a. Within 30 days of service of the Peremptory Writ of Mandate, rescind and set aside the September 17, 2019, approval allowing up to 30 theater performances in the Old Winery Ruins area at Jack London State Historic Park, each summer season for up to 5 years in accordance with the operating agreement with Valley of the Moon Natural History Association (VMNHA). Such rescission shall become effective 45 days after the completion of

1		Transcendence Theater Company's final performance in summer
2		2022, currently scheduled for September 18, 2022.
3		b. In order to authorize any additional performances after the summer 2022
4		season, that could result in any change or alteration of the physical
5		environment, Parks must comply with the requirements of the California
6		Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for its approval of the performances.
7	3.	With such writ further providing that:
8		a. Under Public Resources Code section 21168.9(c), this Court does not direct
9		Respondent to exercise its lawful discretion in any particular way; and
10		b. Under Public Resources Code section 21168.9(b), this Court will retain
11		jurisdiction over Respondent's proceedings by way of a return to this
12		peremptory writ of mandate until this Court has determined that Respondent
13		has complied with the provisions of CEQA.
14		c. Respondent must file a preliminary return to the writ no later than 60 days
15		after issuance of the writ, and a final return following CEQA compliance.
16	4.	The Petition for Writ of Mandate is denied with regard to the Second Cause of
17		Action (General Plan); Third Cause of Action (Public Resources Code, §
18		5001.9(b)); Fourth Cause of Action (Public Resources Code, § 5001.96); Fifth
19		Cause of Action (Public Resources Code, § 5019.53); Sixth Cause of action (Public
20		Resources Code, § 5001.59); and Seventh Cause of Action (Public Resources Code,
21		§ 5080.03).
22	5.	Petitioner, as the prevailing party, shall be awarded its costs of the suit.
23	6.	This Court shall retain jurisdiction in this matter to determine entitlement to
24		attorney's fees and the amount of any award on motion by Petitioner in accordance
25		with California Rule of Court Rule 3.1702.
26	7.	
27	//	The Honorable Rene Auguste Chouteau, June 7, 2022
28	//	