

CALIFORNIA STATE PARK RANGERS ASSOCIA-

NEWSLETTER

MARCH 1988

SIGNATURES NEEDED!!! TOBACCO TAX INITIATIVE BEGINS

CIRCULATION

A new initiative with enormous potential to enhance California's fisheries, wetlands, wildlife habitat and state and local parks is now being circulated throughout California. It would raise the tobacco tax by \$.25 per package, and place a comparable tax on other tobacco products. \$30 million of the new revenue will go to park and wildlife projects each year. The rest of the annual \$650 million a year the tax would raise will go towards medical costs, cancer and lung disease research, and education to teach children not to use tobacco products.

PCL played a key role in the development of this initiative. They first suggested an increase in the tobacco tax for medical and environmental purposes two years ago when the federal government considered reducing the federal tax and they noted that California's tobacco tax had not changed for more than 20 years, and was among the lowest in the Nation. Other states use their tax for a variety of purposes, including conservation of natural resources.

The voluntary health organizations hope that the tax will provide funds for health maintenance, education and research, and will serve to reduce smoking in the most vulnerable segment of society: children under the age of twenty.

Tobacco use is not only a severe environmental health hazard, but is one of the worst forms of indoor air

continued page 2

CSPRA OPPOSES GRAZING

COMMERCIAL EXPLOI-TATION AND ENVIRON-MENTAL DAMAGE CITED

I(etter to Director Agonia)

The California State Park Rangers Association wishes to express our opposition to the commercial exploitation of State Park System resources by commercial livestock grazing. We understand that DPR is experiencing a great deal of pressure from a small segment of society to continue and even expand grazing activities on State Park System lands, involving Mt. Diablo State Park. Large-scale cattle grazing is commercial exploitation. Such activity is prohibited in the State Park System by the Public Resources Code (Sec. 5001.65) Grazing more than one half of Mt. Diablo State Park is also incompatible and inconsistent with the State Park classification. The General Plan process has stimulated substantial public interest in this issue with the special interest -the livestock industry -- generating the most opposition to DPR's objectives. However, the interests of the public-at-large cannot be served by caving-in to pressure from resource exploiters.

The adverse effects of grazing on natural ecosystems has been well documented. Grazing damages riparian systems, reduces oak regeneration, pollutes water, and promotes the proliferation of thistles and other exotic plant species. The barbed wire fences, which are necessary parts of livestock operations, discourage public use, mar park scenery, and are hazards to park visitors.

We feel the arguments against re-Continued page 4 PCL ALSO IN OPPOSI-TION TO COMMERCIAL GRAZING

There seems to be a never ending desire by some people to exploit our State Parks for inappropriate commercial uses. The latest such controversy is an attempt by a commercial grazing operator to have his cattle graze thousands of acres of Mount Diablo State Park. The operator has been able to graze much of the park under a questionable lease he obtained from the Department of Parks and Recreation some years ago. But now the Department is preparing a revision to the Park General Plan which may call for elimination or at least a substantial reduction in the grazing.

Grazing has a dramatic and deleterious effect on native vegetation in Mount Diablo State Park. It devastates wildlife production, reduces forage that could be used by native species such as deer, produces ugly piles of cow droppings littering the landscape, and causes erosion due to creation of cow "trails". Commercial uses of State Parks are prohibited by state law. The Department has justified the current grazing operations just outside the Park boundaries on a variety of public and private lands.

PCL has joined our Board Member the California State Park Rangers Association and other conservation groups in calling for radical reductions in the number of acres grazed, or outright elimination of this inappropriate use of the State Park. The cattle operator is fighting back by hiring a local public relations firm to paint a rosy

Continued page 4

THE CALIFORNIA STATE PARK RANGERS ASSOCIATION

A professional association.

Office of the Executive manager Doug Bryce P.O. Box 28366 Sacramento, Ca. 95828 (916) 381-4620

PRESIDENT:

VICE PRESIDENT: Mike Whitehead


TREASURER Kathy Watton (209) 339-4637

Directors: Gar Salzgeber Bud Getty Syd Brown

NEWSLETTER: Steve Hansen, Editor (408) 335-9106

CAL RANGER: Ed Stuckrath, Editor (408) 338-6132

For additional information on the association, write the Executive Manager



PROBABLE CAUSES

Probable causes is available by mail for \$7 each, tax and all that stuff included. Make checks payable to Carl Burger. Mail to: Portola State Park, Route 2, La Honda, CA 94020

Proceeds from the sale of this "music" go to the California State Park Ranger's Association. Thanks for your tolerance and support.

Carl Burger, Portola State Park.

from page 1

tax initiative

pollution. It also results in many wildfires throughout California each year, and to-bacco litter mars our state and local parks. It is only reasonable that a small portion of the new tax revenue would be used to protect the habitat and parks that are harmed through tobacco use.

The new initiative is a Constitutional Amendment, because the new revenue would otherwise not be able to be used for health and environmental purposes. This means that more than 900,000 signatures must be gathered by April 1988. Ken Masterton, who coordinated PCL's Parks and Wildlife Initiative, will be running the signature collection effort.

The tobacco companies have pledged to spend \$16 million to defeat this initiative, but polls indicate that a large majority of Californians favor raising the tobacco tax for these purposes. If you'd like to help circulate petitions, please contact the Planning and Conservation League at (415) 868-1431, Ken Masterson.



The **NEWSLETTER** ISSN 0887-9176 is published by CSPRA, P.O. Box 28366, Sacramento, Ca. 95828. The **NEWSLETTER'S** address is 105 N. Big Trees Park Rd., Felton, Ca. 95018. (408) 335-2417

The **NEWSLETTER'S** Editor is Steve Hansen, contributing editors and authors are as noted. Articles are welcome, 1000 words or less. All submissions become the property of CSPRA and may be edited without notice.

CSPRA BOARD MINUTES DECEMBER 1987

The Board decided to discuss the following items with Chief Deputy Director - Operations, Jack Harrison.

Naming peak in honor of Newton B. Drury Retired member pass Support of Agonia Mt Diablo Grazing Employee Exchange

Jack Harrison met with the board for more than an hour and discussed the following.

DRURY PEAK The Director has approved the naming of a peak at Mt San Jacinto in honor of Newton B. Drury and had directed RPD to implement the official naming.

EMPLOYEE EXCHANGE PRO-GRAM Mr. Harrison indicated that he will be discussing this with Director Agonia. He indicated he had just received a glowing report from Marv Engle of her exchange with NPS. He indicated he would like to see the program done with Federal, State, and local agencies all at the same time. Would like to see the proposals come from the employees and not just down from headquarters; and would hope that employees would not be getting specialized training only. He indicated he would place the item on the next Regional Directors meeting agenda.

MT DIABLO GRAZING He indicated the Department wanted out of grazing but that there were many issues and interests that must be heard and considered.

Other issues briefly covered were: our goals for 1988; our approval of the Director's positive action on Anza-Borrego Green Sticker vehicle issue; our support of the Director's confirmation; Eucalyptus removal at Angel Island; and Jack announced the discontinuance of wine tasting in the Swiss Chalet at Vallejo's Home.

1988 CONFERENCE: Patty Posner Posner updated the group on plans for the conference including soliciting suggestions for art work for the Program and discussion of problems with preparing a budget. A tour of the property was provided by a Woodlake representative. The board was pleased that planning was going along so well. It was suggested that advertising get out soon.

EXECUTIVE MANAGER BRYCE

It was pointed out that a typo in the ballot issue for dues increase makes it seem that the dues are being increased by the amount they were intended to actually be. It was decided to send a corrected ballot for this one issue with a better

justification. Also it was decided to include information on Supervisors/Managers being eligible for the Department to pay their dues in an appropriate Professional organization of up to \$100/year.

COMPLIMENTRY PASS FOR RETIRED MEMBERS

Jack Harrison told President Parmer that the Department would consider giving CSPRA a similar deal on passes for retired members as they have given to the State Park Foundation. Under the proposed deal we would issue to any retired member who requested one a pass good for \$50 worth of services from the State Parks. We would then reimburse the Department for 25% of the actual use of the passes. Motion Getty, seconded Brown, that President Parmer prepare a letter asking the Department to do this. Passed unanimously.

CSPRA MEMORIAL FUND
Clyde Newlin sent us a donation to
memorialize Harry Kauffman. We will
use it to help with the conference
and will recognize this memori-


al in the Program for the 1988 Conference.


TOBACCO TAX - BROWN

PCL is working on an initiative to raise the cigarette tax by 25 cents to be used for medical and cancer research. 5%of the funds raised will be used for resource enhancement. About \$15 million will be available for parks and recreation. PCL needs \$50,000 for parks share of the initiative drive. They would like CSPRA's endorsement and the addresses of Cooperating Associations. Motion Getty, seconded Whitehead, to endorse the initiative and encourage individuals to gather signatures. Passed - unanimously

1988 CONFERENCE

Will be held in Palm Springs. Art in the Parks will be a track. There will be





THE DIRECTOR IN OPPOSITION TO HYDRO DEVELOPMENT IN PARKS

Dear Mr. Meral:

Thank you for your letter of October 2, 1987 in support of this Department's position on the Miner's Tunnel hydroelectric project. The Department has opposed, and will continue to oppose, this hydroelectric proposal on State Park System lands.

The Department will oppose condemnation of the State Park System lands by Northwest Power Company. I think it would be a landmark case if the public recreational lands were condemned for use by a private power developer. No one has advanced any overwhelming argument to change the Department's opposition to the Miner's Tunnel project.

The Department policy of rejecting use of State Park System lands for commercial purposes applies to small hydroelectric projects just as it does to other proposals.

I can see no advantage in proposing or supporting legislation prohibiting issuance of state water rights or permits for small hydroelectric projects on State Park System lands without the Department's permission. To do so would imply consent to at least consider such authority for use of State Park System lands. I would think it more appropriate to stand firmly by the current policy against commercial use of State Park System lands.

As for legislation to invalidate contracts covering hydroelectric projects on State Park System lands if they are opposed by the Department, I'm not at all sure the Department needs. or wants, such authority and would much prefer to leave such action to the parties and the courts. Exercise of arbitrary authority often leads to misuse and all the negative repercussions associated with it. However, should the Northwest Power Company actually prevail in a condemnation proceeding concerning the Miner's Tunnel project, we may then consider taking such action, including legislation, to protect State Park System lands from such confiscatory action.

Sincerely,

Henry R. Agonia Director

GRAZING IN PARKS

CSPRA

From page 1

source-damaging grazing in the State Park System are clear. The vast majority of California's foothill grasslands are grazed. The small percentage of those lands which are part of the State Park System should be kept free of grazing so that the public can see and experience examples of the environment as it was before livestock were introduced.

Many of CSPRA's nearly 600 members have seen negative effects of cattle grazing on park resources and visitor experiences. In recognition of the negative impacts, the Department ended grazing at Mt. Tamalpais, Folsom Lake, Cuyamaca, Montana de Oro, Chino Hills, Henry Coe, and many other units. Please take a big step toward protecting State Park System resources and maintaining opportunities for high quality visitor experiences by prohibiting commercial livestock grazing at Mt. Diablo State Park.

Rick Parmer, President

PCL

From page 1

picture of how the bucolic cows help to control grass fires. But there are many other state parks with similar fire control problems that achieve solutions without cows.

Assembly Member Bill Baker (R, Contra Costa) supports continued grazing in the State Park. PCL is working with other legislators to explain why commercial grazing operations are an inappropriate use of State Parks. If the Department actually continues to allow extensive grazing we will either seek legislation to protect the Park, or consider possible litigation to overturn the decision. Our State Parks are for all the people of California to enjoy and appreciate. Commercial grazing only detracts from their value.

MEMORIAL FUND

CSPRA has set up a memorial Fund for DPR employees. Donations to this fund will be added to the James Whitehead Audubon Scholarship Fund in the name of the honoree.

A member of the family will be notified from CSPRA that a donation was made to the fund; the president of CSPRA will also see that the donor is appropriately thanked.

Joseph R. McCall Chair-Retired Committee

SIERRA CLUB SUPPORTS DESERT PROTECTION ACT

Dear Friend of the desert:

The number-one public lands issue in California today is the desert -- the fight to protect fragile areas of the beautiful, unique California desert from lasting effects of off-road vehicle use, mining, road building, cattle grazing, real estate speculation. Ever since Senator Alan Cranston first introduced his landmark "California Desert Protection Act" in early 1986, the Sierra Club has been working hard to promote passage of this much needed legislation -- S. 7 and H.R. 371.

Now, at the start of 1988, we at last expect tangible progress in Congress toward desert protection. A lull in Congressional action followed the July hearings held by the Public Lands Subcommittee of the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee -- largely because Senator Pete Wilson steadily declined to take a stand on the desert bill. But there is now reason to anticipate Senator Wilson's support. And the Senate Energy Committee expects to mark up the bill by spring. Once the bill leaves the

committee, there could be an excellent chance for its prompt passage by the full Senate. Action on the bill would then move to Congressman Mel Levine's H.R. 371 in the House of Representatives.

Because Senate action on the desert is probable as early as spring, it is now top priority in the campaign for the desert to find one or more activists to be contact persons for coordinating action on the desert bill in each California Congressional district.

That is why I am writing to you now. I hope you will join our exciting campaign to protect the desert: you can choose from plenty of ways to help. Among the most useful things you can do is to write a letter to your local newspaper, distribute desert posters, show our desert video, put on a program for a local group, run phone banks to solicit letters, or, if possible, arrange a recess visit with your representative and staff, or obtain endorsement from your City Council.

Or think of your own ideas! The possibilities are only limited by YOUR interests and time. Activities for Congressional district coordinators are also likely to include a tour in the desert and even a trip to Washington to help in lobbying members of Congress. And plans are in the works for several desert workshops for activists during the spring, to be held in different parts of the state.

Vicky Hoover, Chair, Northern California Desert Task Force

(415) 776-2211 days;

JOB SHARING

As many of you may have read in past News and Views and WIN articles.I have been coordinating job sharing within the department on an informal basis. Since returning last June from maternity leave (for the third time!) I have had more requests for information on job sharing. I am currently working on an update of those people who are at present job sharing or those who would like to job share in the administrative, maintenance, or visitor service classes. I am seeking information on how the job sharing was coordinated, what problems were encountered, what the pluses and minuses are in each classification, etc. If you are currently job sharing or would like to job share, please call me at Candlestick SRA, 415-557-4069 or write to me at : Candlestick Point State Recreation Area. P.O. Box 34159. San Francisco, Ca. 94134.

> Jan Kendig SPR1 Candlestick Point SRA

Questions About Job sharing
1. Q - I have been in a position for less than 1 year. Can I still apply for a

job sharing position

A - No, personnel will not accept applications from people who have not been in their present position less than 1 year or less than 1920 hours. But, if on one applies for an advertised job shared position, then personnel can make an exception but has to get approval from the union to do it, and other red tape is involved.

2. Q - If more than one person applies for a job share position, who gets the job?

A - The person with the highest seniority points (if applicable) or longest time with the Department gets the job.

3. Q - How are seniority points accumulated when job sharing?

A - Based on hours worked - 160 hr.. = 1 point

4. Q - What happens when there is required training for both people who are job sharing? For example, what if one ranger needs to take V.S.R.T.?

A. That person will be paid overtime, but straight time, (not time and a half) unless it is over 40 hours in a week.

Employees Interested In Job sharing RANGER I

- (1) Deview, Yvette Ranger I currently at Ft. Tejon and wants to job share in place. (209) 822-2332
- (2) Dressler, Robin Ranger I currently at Fort Ross and wants to job share in place. (707) 847-3286
- (3) Linse, Danita Ranger I currently at Silverwood and wants to job

JOB SHARING

Continued next page

From Page 5

share at Silverwood or Lake Perris. (619) 389-2281

- (4) Kalko, John Ranger I currently at Orange Coast and wants to job share in place or Pendleton Coast. (714) 848-1566
- (5) Knapp, Suzanne Ranger I currently at Hearst Castle and wants to job share in place. (805) 927-2020
- (6) Morse, Bonnie Ranger I currently at Santa Monica Mtns. and wants to job share in Auburn Area. (818)706-1310
- (7) Watt, Valerie Ranger I currently at Santa Monica Mtns. and wants to job share in Sacramento Headquarters or Davis Area. (805) 499-2112

RANGER IV

(1) Hart, J.W. - Currently at Pajaro Coast and wants to job share preferably in place or any other region. (408) 688-3241

OTHER

- (1) Willd-Wagner, Shirley Park and Rec. Specialist - currently at Headquarters, Sacramento and wants to job share in place. (916) 322-9590
- (1) Dickmann, Barbara Acct. Clerk II currently at Santa Rosa and wants to job share in place. (707) 576-2357

LOS ANGELES RANGERS LIMITED PEACE OFFICER STATUS SOUGHT

Dear Friends:

The resolution to upgrade the park ranger position, and make the (Los Angeles City) rangers professionally trained limited-status peace officers, passed the Personnel Committee of the City Council in April of 1986. With the help of Councilman John Ferraro and his deputy, Tom La Bonge, we were finally able to see this matter acted upon, and as of this fall the park rangers have a totally new job classification, which will enable the Department of Recreation and Parks to hire professional park rangers from outside the city system (as well as to hire those within the city who meet the job requirements). BUT - somewhere in the bureaucracy the "limited peace officer status" was dropped from the job description! Currently the Department of Recreation and Parks and the Police Department are trying to reach an understanding on this issue, and hopefully that status will be extended to the new park rangers. I know how important this aspect of the park rangers is to all of us; it is key to giving them the authority which they need in order to deal with the realities in our parks. And I have expressed our feelings strongly to both the above departments, and to Councilman Ferraro's office.

The other good news is that during the City Council Budget Hearings of 1986, Councilman Zev Yaroslavsky, although turning down our request for 20 additional park ranger positions, did provide budgeting for additional police officers, and asked Chief Gates to use about 25 of them specifically on a permanent park detail. Although the full Council refused to ratify the latter idea, the Police Department is seeking to honor it anyway. Commander George Morrison was put in charge of formulating and implementing the plan. Now, several months later, the plan has actually already gone into effect. It involves specific police officers in each district of the city, who are liaisons with specific Recreation and Parks personnel representing the various parks in the corresponding districts. The idea is that the recreation personnel can direct the police officers to the local park "hot spots" wherever, and whenever they pop up. The plan is in its infancy, and some of the "bugs" are still being worked out, but Commander Morrison is doing an excellent job in trying to coordinate a massive and rather delicate effort. Eventually I would like to see the plan publicized in such a way that citizens who encounter park problems will know how to avail themselves of it. We have suggested an 800 telephone number, or call boxes in parks. through which a central operator (hopefully at the ranger headquarters) could direct the complaint to the police officers and recreation personnel (as well as rangers) in charge of that specific park.

We all came together about three years ago to try to do something about the deterioration of our city parks. We realized that the first and most important step was to establish some sort of authority presence in the parks, in order to control the crime and vandalism. I would never have dreamed at that time just how long it was going to take us to see any results from our efforts. But I have since learned that the combination of politics and bureaucracy is undoubtedly the most difficult and frustrating entity one could ever deal with! It takes twenty times longer to accomplish something in government than in the world of the private sector. But we have no choice in the matter; if we are going to effect change in the parks, we must work with the City.

It has been a long, hard road, and sometimes it seems as if we are going nowhere. But in summarizing for you where we are at as 1988 begins, it actually seems that we really have accomplished a lot in three years! If we can but see the new park ranger program begun, and the police park plan solidified, I think the reasons for which this Coalition came into existence will have been well served.

Laurie Smith, Coalition to Preserve Our City Parks

Editors Note: This letter sure gives me a feeling of Deja Vu! After all, there we were only 15 years ago. It is really significant, however, that our bureaucracy demonstrated such progressive leadership in this area of public safety.

LETTERS

Dear Jack:

Thank you very much for accepting our invitation to get acquainted at the Board meeting this coming Saturday. Especially for giving up a small portion of your weekend!

As a follow-up to a couple of matters we have discussed, I am enclosing relevant background information.

The description of Newton Drury's crucial role in the acquisition of the Mount San Jacinto State Wilderness was prepared specifically for your review with the hopes we can expedite the peak naming process.

It is the opinion of CSPRA and several prominent individuals and organizations supporting this proposal (including Save-The- Redwoods League) that a ceremony to name the peak should be scheduled as soon as the Director is ready to officially support it. We understand he has the authority to name one of the two proposed peaks now, before the rather lengthy federal process is completed. This is a necessary, but merely perfunctory exercise, given there is no opposition according to Departmental and State Lands Commission sources.

We are ready to play as large or small a role in putting on this ceremony as the Department wishes but we are anxious to set a date since several individuals on the attached list of supporters have asked us about the delay.

I have also attached the proposal on the temporary assignments policy put together by Diablo District Superintendent, Bill Beat, CSPRA Director, Gar Salzgeber, current exchange ranger, Mary Angle, and National Parks Service Training Coordinator, Mr. Haygood. We would like to work out any departmental concerns as soon as possible so we can provide more opportunities for professional enrichment and new ideas for programs which will benefit both agencies.

Thank you for your assistance and I look forward to seeing you on Saturday.

Sincerely, Rick Parmer ,President

Gerald H. Meral, Ph.D.

Executive Director, Planning and Conservation League

Dear Dr. Meral:

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the Mount Diablo State Park
General Plan. As you must be aware, public sentiment is strongly divided on the proposal to reduce cattle grazing leases.

Currently, the Department has delayed the general planning effort to prepare a yet-to-be-completed wildfire management plan. The issue of grazing as it relates to fire hazard reduction was a concern expressed by many individuals and by local fire district personnel at the last public meeting. It is our intent to develop a wildfire plan that addresses the important aspects of wildlife management and protection of park resources in concert with local agency recommendations.

The wildfire management issues for the park should be resolved by early next year, at which time we will resume the general planning process by developing alternative proposals for management and use of this important State Park.

I appreciate your concern with the Department's alternative proposal to provide up to 1,000 acres for grazing as a component of a historic interpretive ranching program. Our clear desire in providing this public interpretive opportunity is that resource and recreational impacts will be at the very minimum.

You will be notified of the Department's alternative proposals and the date, time, and location of the next public meeting. Thank you for expressing your views on these important matters.

Sincerely, Henry R. Agonia ,Director

GUELD TBUPS

They say the desert is a dull, lifeless place - the last place in the world you'd want to spend your spring vacation.

This year, learn what the desert is really like, with San Jose State University's annual trek to Death Valley 1988.

This program has been happening each year for more than 45 years, introducing thousands of people to the beauty of the desert. Hundreds of past participants have become regulars over the years, returning time and again to experience a land that, as one says "is never the same - is always changing."

You think the desert is hot? you're right. Death Valley is the hottest desert in the world during the summer months. But when the valleys are warming with early spring heat, the surrounding mountains are still capped with snow and offer cool relief.

You think the desert is lifeless? Tell that to the bighorn sheep, the kangaroo rats, the geckoes, the chuckwalla lizards, the sidewinders, the pupfish and all the other creatures that thrive in Death Valley.

You think the desert is barren? Look again. Death Valley is home to more than 600 kinds of plants, offering a spectacular color show in the spring. At first glance, the valley may look like a barren stretch of sand and rocks. A closer inspection shows millions of minute wildflowers, bushes of dusty green desert holly and golden cactus blooms. There is no lack of vegetation here.

You think there's nothing to do? Think again. The field trips will keep you busy every day of the week. One day, you'll look at the geological patterns of Death Valley canvons. The next day, you might study the desert's plant population. Altogether, you'll receive instruction in botanv/ecology, geology/mining, and zoology.

In addition to the regular instructional program, there will be optional trips with the faculty of various points of interest.

Our base camp is at Ryan - an abandoned mining town where history is brought to life through evening minilectures, films, etc.

For Further Information Write or call: Field Studies in Natural History Office of Continuing Education Dwight Bentel Hall 136B San Jose State University San Jose, Ca. 95192-0135 (408) 924-2625

WELCOME TO CSPRA

We are glad to introduce these new members to our organization. CSPRA is a place of sharing ideas, concerns and of making an impact in the professional field of the ranger. There is a place for each of you here, and your commitment to CSPRA requires a commitment to you from this organization. Each of us by getting Involved can help the other. Again, welcome to CSPRA

Heidi Ann Van Dyke Tony Hoffman Lyan C. Fisher - Smith Karen L. Broderick Stephen K. Oka Jennifer Jane Roderiquez Darrell Lee Bennett

Scott S. Nakaji Gerald Brian Aus. Sharon Elaine Campbell Kathleen M. Buckley Connie L. Breakfield, Kenneth Duane Mc Kowen Jack Harrison

Daniel Norman Goswick Steven James Zembsch Jack B. Roggenbuck David Alan Nelson Donald Patrick Monahan Bruce Freeman Kennedy

CALIFORNIA STATE PARK RANGERS ASSOCIATION

P. O. BOX 28366, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95828



P. G. Sev.

Mail to:

JOHN D. MOTT 116 TREETOP DRIVE SANTA CRUZ CA 95060

