

NEWSLETTER

california state park rangers association

Volume VI Number 47

July 1993

KOPS: Keep Our Parks Open and Safe

CSPRA and other organizations have been working with PCL to develop an initiative which would increase auto registration fees by nine dollars per year. The resulting \$200 million per year would be used to keep parks open and safe. Day-use fees would be eliminated in the State Park System. Local parks would also eliminate day-use fees for hiking and other passive uses. Parking in local parks could be charged for.

The funds raised by this initiative would be distributed as follows: State Parks would be reimbursed for its loss of day-use revenue. The remaining funds would be distributed: 43% to State Parks, 6% to the Ecological Reserve System, 1% to the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, and 50% to local park and recreation districts. (This works out to about \$80,000,000/year to begin with.)

The funds would be used for operation and maintenance of the parks and wildlife areas with 99% of the funds being spent in the park units, allowing only 1% for administrative overhead.

(Continued on page 3)

CALPAW Moves Forward (Reprinted from *California Today* a publication of the Planning & Conservation League)

After careful consideration, and consultation with hundreds of local conservation groups and public agencies throughout California, PCL has decided to move forward with CALPAW 94, the Park and Wildlife Bond Act Initiative. The initiative text has been filed with the attorney General for preparation of Title and Summary. (Continued on page 3)

Bodie Bill Passes House; Emergency Withdrawal Approved by Donna Pozzi

On May 11, the U.S. House of Representatives passed HR 240, the Bodie Protection Act of 1993. The bill now awaits a subcommittee hearing in the Senate which we hope will occur in July. If you haven't already done so, please urge Senators Feinstein and Boxer to move this bill up on their priority lists and press for a speedy hearing. They have co-sponsored S 492, the Senate version of the Bodie bill. It is critical that they fend off any (continued on page 4)

On The Inside

President's Message	2
Audobon Scholarship	4
Events Calendar	5
TQM In Parks	5
Fall Workshop	7
Retirees' Rendezvous	7 & 8
Retirees' Addresses	9 & 10
Budget, Mt Diablo, Lake Elsinore	11

President's Message

The Planning and Conservation League, or PCL, has been a leader in the use of the initiative process as a means of promoting environmental issues. Without going into a litany of their successes, it should suffice to note that the past two park bond acts were PCL products. As members of PCL, CSPRA has regularly participated in the planning for these initiatives, and we have recently been involved in the development of two more.

The first, and best known, is another bond act scheduled for the June, 1994 ballot. Unless the legislature manages to develop its own bond proposal, PCL's will be the next opportunity we have to acquire critical park lands and develop them for public use.

The second proposal is for a new approach to financing the routine operation of our park system, and may finally provide us with the funding stability we so desperately need. PCL did a great deal of research into alternate methods of funding, as did our own department, and the conclusion was that a surcharge on vehicle registration would be the fairest and most popular means. At a workshop conducted by the State Parks Commission, it was decided that the initiative process should be used to present this idea to the voters, offering them free day use access to state park units in exchange for an annual registration surcharge of \$9.00 per year. Unfortunately, after promoting the idea, our commissioners blistered their heels with a snappy about-face, cowered from Pete Wilson's scowl, and did what was best for themselves — proving once again political courage is the rarest of all virtues.

PCL has continued to develop the concept, and has worked closely with many park employees to improve and refine the initiative's language. By the time you receive this, it should have been filed with the Secretary of State, and we will formally begin the process of passing the most important park act since the creation of the State Park System. The act will raise over \$200 million per year, of which roughly \$94 million will go to the California Park Service. In exchange, we will no longer receive about \$16 million in annual day use revenues. The act also specifies that 99% of the resulting income must be used within the boundaries of our parks. Aside from that, there are few restrictions, and the department will be free to address the critical concerns we have faced for so long: staff shortages, deferred maintenance, inholdings, critical acquisitions adjacent to existing parks, worn out campgrounds, and inadequate protection for resources are key examples. That's the positive side of the initiative, but it would be foolish to argue that we can gain all of this without giving something up in return.

What do we lose? Two big concerns have been expressed. First, we lose a certain amount of "control" at the entrance stations. Although this act would not need to result in the closure of kiosks, some of you have felt that free access would lead to increased use by "undesirables" previously deterred by the entrance fee. Arguments about the philosophical implications of this concern aside, this issue has been discussed at great length by all of the parties involved in planning the initiative, and attempts are still being made to develop language that will encourage the department to address this problem. Increased staffing would be an obvious choice. Second, there is a very practical argument that can be made about the increased numbers of people using, or attempting to use parks as a result of this act, should it pass. Again, the language encourages the department to address this problem, at least by keeping entrance stations open and by enforcing carrying capacity limits.

The point is, we need this act. None of the other alternatives considered offered the advantages of this proposal, not the least of which was public acceptance. Nearly two-thirds of voters surveyed by PCL supported the idea of a registration surcharge in exchange for free day use. The CSPRA Board of Directors has endorsed the initiative, and we will be participating in fund raising and signature gathering activities coming up this summer. I hope you will assist us as well. Few of us will ever have the opportunity to make such a lasting and important contribution to the park system's and our own future.

Few of us will ever have the opportunity to make such a lasting and important contribution to the park system's and our own future.

KOPS (Continued from page 1)

Polling indicates that the public is willing to support a measure of this type. Be prepared to donate about six days over a three month period to help gather signatures to qualify the initiative.

CALPAW 94 (Continued from page 1)

Certainly there is a danger that without sufficient information the voters might not approve the nearly two billion dollars in bonds authorized by the initiative, but there is an even greater danger that the lands and waters that would be protected by the initiative will be lost to development if we don't try.

Ken Masterson and Sarah Wright have been retained to organize the volunteer signature-gathering effort, and they have hired nine regional coordinators to train the volunteers and find places for them to gather signatures. Training is already underway. If you'd like to spend a few hours in this worthwhile effort, . . . [please contact PCL at 916 444-8726].

The initiative that was filed is diverse, protecting a variety of places, habitat types, and programs. In each issue of *California Today* before the election we will bring you up to date on the campaign, and focus on one feature of the initiative. Here are the 98 lake, river and stream protection features of CALPAW 94.


Riparian habitat and spawning gravels would be acquired and restored along the following rivers (listed from south to north): Tijuana, Otay, San Luis Rey, Sweetwater, San Dieguito, Santa Margarita, Colorado, Santa Ana, Whitewater, Los Angeles, San Gabriel, Santa Clara, Ventura, Santa Paula, Kern, South Fork Kern, Salinas, Carmel, San Lorenzo, Guadalupe, San Joaquin, Tuolumne, Stanislaus, Mokelumne, Cosumnes, Delta Meadows-Mokelumne, American, South Fork American, North Fork American, South Yuba, West Fork Carson, Sacramento, Russian, Napa, Big, Garcia, Navarro, Mattole, Eel, and Mad.

Similar protection will be provided to the following creeks and streams in (alphabetical order by county): (Alameda)

Strawberry; (Colusa/Yolo) Cache; (Contra Costa) San Ramon, Walnut; (Humboldt) Jacoby, Mill; (Los Angeles) Cold, Malibu, Arroyo Seco, LA trout streams, LA Rivers; (Madera) Willow; (Marin) Estero de San Antonio; (Mariposa) Mariposa; (Mono) Green; (Napa) Huichica; (Nevada) Coldstream; (Orange) Santiago; (Placer) Auburn Ravine; (Riverside) Murrietta, Cole Canyon; (Sacramento) Dry, Snipes-Pershing, Laguna; (San Diego) Escondido; (San Luis Obispo) Chorro, Los Osos; (Santa Barbara) Mission and others; (Santa Cruz) Moore; (Sierra) Cold; (Solano) Estero Americano, Santa Rosa, Sonoma; (Tehama) Deer, Mill; (Yolo) Putah.

Funds are included for acquisition and restoration programs at each of the following lakes: Tahoe, Clear Lake, Stone Lakes (Sacramento), Heenan and Red (Alpine), Weist (Imperial), Owens, Black (Mono), Grouse (Nevada); and Mystic (Riverside).

Finally, additional programs are included to restore urban streams (\$15 million), provide river and lake access to nonmotorized watercraft (\$5 million), restore and enhance river parkways (\$15 million), and provide funds to local conservation corps to do stream restoration and other environmental work (\$15 million).



The total of all this river, stream and lake protection activity is more than \$250 million, or about 12.5% of the entire bond act.

In future stories, we'll cover the urban, wetlands, park and other features of CALPAW 94. Executive Director Jerry Meral and Natural Resources Director Lynn Sadler are making CALPAW 94 a major priority, ably assisted by Statewide Campaign Coordinator Tim Dunbar.

"... but there is an even greater danger that the lands and waters that would be protected by the initiative would be lost to development if we don't try."

Bodie Bill

(Continued from page 1)

amendments which would weaken or modify the bill. This is a concern because Governor Wilson has finally taken a position in support of the legislation, however, he requested some changes which would jeopardize its effectiveness.

Nonetheless, we welcome and appreciate the Governor's support.

In addition to our progress in Congress, Bodie also gained support in the Executive branch. On June 4, the Department of Interior took steps to close public lands around Bodie to mining claims. This was first requested by CSPRA in November 1991 and again in February of this year. This important action will ensure that no new claims will be filed should Galactic's bankruptcy ultimately free up their present holdings. Please note that this is only a temporary withdrawal, called a segregation, that is valid for two years; legislation is still required.

But our work will not be done until we have protected Bodie from all the Galactics of the future. Please help! Call Donna Pozzi for details at (916) 443-3359.



As for Galactic, the costs of cleaning up their mining disaster in Summitville continue to skyrocket. In a June 11 *USA Today* article entitled "Failed Colorado mine a reform 'poster child'" it was reported that EPA's pricetag is now "up to \$70 million." That's money that we American taxpayers get to spend after the Canadian-owned company walked away from their responsibilities last December after losing \$80 million. As members of CSPRA, we can all be proud of the role we played in preventing Galactic from wreaking similar havoc at Bodie. But our work will not be done until we have protected Bodie from all the Galactics of the future. **Please help!** Call Donna Pozzi for details at (916) 443-3359.

Letter

Dear CSPRA Newsletter:


Eric and Suzanne Knapp, in their "1992 National Interpreters Workshop" article write "... that DPR will never reach a high all-around level of interpretation." I agree that our department has placed interpretation well below enforcement when it comes to funding, training, and "time." The department doesn't seem to realize that good interpretation skills will make for **better** law enforcers and/or resource management protectionists, **not** the other way around.

But in fairness to the DPR interpreters I have met, you can still be the best interpreter you want to be regardless of where you work or who you work for.

Sincerely,

Bill Krumbein
Ranger

RANGER LOGO



Audubon Scholarship

Scully Scholarship funds are now available to send one California State Park Ranger to the Camp in the West this summer. This full scholarship covers all meals, lodging and instruction. Send a letter/resume to Phillip P. Schaeffer, National Audubon Education Center, 613 Riversville Road, Greenwich, CT 06831 (203) 869-5272. FAX 869-4437.

TQM in State Parks

by Broc Stenman

Total Quality Management (TQM) - organizing and operating all functions based on quality management principles and concepts to meet and exceed customers' needs and expectations.

In late February I attended the American Society for Training and Development's first symposium on Training for Quality. 355 trainers, managers, and executives from the private and public sector representing over 10 nations attended. This valuable forum for exchange provided useful insight into state parks' implementation of total quality management.

Government all across the United States is under attack. Budgets are being cut in response to the public's perception of waste, mismanagement, and bureaucratic indifference. Across the country, however, governments at all levels are experimenting with new approaches to dramatically improving effectiveness and efficiency. What follows are some elements on a "road map to quality" that were underscored at the symposium. The points listed under each subheading are, by and large, listed in progressive steps. It will become obvious that this is a multi-year process in which DPR has just made its initial steps.

Top Management Involvement (Leadership by Example)

1. Vision and mission communicated
2. Quality Policy published
3. Reviews team status and "charters" teams
4. Reports status to all employees
5. Uses team concepts and tools extensively
6. TQM is the consistent way managers manage

Customer Focused

1. Customer feedback requested
2. Customer requirements defined
3. Survey of customer needs (validates customer requirements)

4. System in place to review requirements
5. Customer alliances started
6. Customer's needs anticipated
7. Customer satisfaction is primary goal in all decisions

Continuous Learning

1. TQM awareness training for all employees
2. Basics of TQM training to many employees
3. TQM tools training stated, new employees trained in TQM
4. Refresher/advanced TQM training
5. Basic statistics training for managers, employees as needed
6. Training provided to employee's regularly

Employee Involvement

1. Team/Facilitator training initiated
2. High participation in suggestion system

(Continued on page 6)

Events Calendar

August 19-20 **Restoring Ecosystem Functions** offered by UC Santa Barbara. Enrollment deadline is August 13. Fee for the course is \$245. For more information call (805) 893-4943 or FAX (805) 893-4943.

September 29-October 3 **47th National Preservation Conference — The Challenge of Livable Communities** — National Trust for Historic Preservation, 1785 Massachusetts Ave. N.W., Washington, DC 20036. 1 800 YES-NTHP.

October 2 **Anniversary of Save-The-Redwoods League.** Ceremony at 10:30 a.m. in the founders grove, Humboldt Redwoods State Park, followed by a hosted barbecue lunch in the Women's Federation Grove. R.S.V.P. necessary before June 15, 1993 to Save-The-Redwoods League, 114 Sansome St., Room 605, San Francisco, CA 94104. Call Mary Angle-Franzini for details at (415) 362-2532.

CSPRA

... governments at all levels are experimenting with new approaches to dramatically improving effectiveness and efficiency.

CSPRA Membership

The California State Park Rangers Association (CSPRA) has been dedicated to protecting and preserving features of the California State Park System for almost three decades.

Membership is open to all current and retired employees of the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

CSPRA was organized in 1964 by California park professionals as a non-profit, tax-exempt, professional society to encourage quality interpretation, resource management, public protection, maintenance and management of the State Park System.

CSPRA actively promotes advancement of the ideals and philosophy for which state parks were originally founded.

For membership information write: CSPRA, P O Box 292010, Sacramento, CA 95829-2010. (916) 383-2530.

TQM

(Continued from page 5)

3. Many employees involved in quality improvement process

4. Suggestion system completely integrated into TQM system

5. Teams self-facilitated

6. Self-directed team where applicable

Measurement

1. Critical success factors are defined (CSFs)

2. Department measures tied to CSFs

3. Rough cut at Price of Non Conformance (PONC)

4. All have measures tied to CSFs. Some charts displayed

5. Statistical process control started

6. All key measures use statistical process control

7. Equipment/Facilities utilized near 100%. PONC=>0.

Process Analysis

1. Standard process flows defined

2. Streamlining of processor started

3. Process improvement teams formed

4. All major processes charted

5. System in place to chart new processes. Error proofing started

6. System changes prevention oriented. System changes anticipated

7. All processes charted and current. TQM integrated into all systems

Supplier Involvement

1. Feedback to suppliers on problems/needs

2. Suppliers implement own TQM programs

3. Suppliers on problem-solving teams

4. Continuous feedback to/from suppliers

5. Suppliers included in annual plans

6. Suppliers qualified in all benchmark areas

Employee Recognition

1. Team success stores published internally. Management becomes coach

2. Team success stories published externally

3. Focus on process not individuals

4. Employees rate supervision

(Continued on page 7)

TQM (Continued from page 6)

5. Peer performance feedback
6. Employees choose types of recognition. Recognition tied to TQM

Approximately two-thirds of the symposium participants indicated that their organizations were in the initial steps of implementing TQM. Many of those, similar to DPR, were within the first year of phase-in. The remaining third of the participants represented corporations and government agencies (e.g. Motorola, IRS) that were ten or more years into their TQM journey. As we've learned and conveyed through initial organization empowerment training TQM is not a panacea for state government or the department. It does, however, offer promise for making us more responsive to the public we serve. TQM will also improve our effectiveness in protecting the cultural and natural resources that are the basis of our Department's mission if it is properly applied.

Two valuable general books on TQM are *The Deming Management Method*, Mary Waton, Perigree Books, 1986, and *Excellence in Government, Total Quality Management in the 1990's*, David K. Carr and Ian Littman, Coopers and Lybrand, 1990.

Fall Workshop October 15 - 17

CSPRA is planning an exciting fall workshop focusing on the parks around the Monterey Bay area. Workshop chair Rick Sermon promises that there will be something for everyone — from redwoods to kelp forests, from dugongs to elephant seals and from farm houses to adobes. So, mark these dates on your calendar and stay tuned to your newsletter for more information or better yet call Rick at the Asilomar Park Office at (408) 372-4076.

Dear Retired Park Employees (and all current DPR employees too!)

CSPRA is sponsoring a Retirees' Rendezvous at McConnell State Recreation Area from Thursday, September 30 to Monday, October 4, 1993. (Previous information had given tentative dates of September 16-20, 1993). This "Rendezvous" is open to **all past and present DPR employees** and their family/guests. CSPRA membership is not required.

RV and tent camping will be available at no charge. A list of nearby motels will be sent to all interested participants upon request.

Tentatively, the schedule of activities is as follows:

Thursday, September 30
Travel and set-up
Informal evening get-together

Friday, October 1
Golf match, fishing demonstrations,
Relax & visit
Informal evening get-together

Saturday, October 2
Pancake breakfast
Organized & "disorganized" board
Games & activities
Free time to relax & visit
Barbecue dinner, sponsored by CSPRA for retirees & spouses (others welcome but must pay a small fee)

Sunday, October 3
Day of relaxation and fellowship

Monday, October 4
Clean-up & departure

CSPRA looks forward to keeping in touch with our retired DPR employees by sponsoring this "Rendezvous" and other activities in the future. We plan to keep "Rendezvous" costs to a minimum and friendship to the maximum.

We hope to see you at the "Rendezvous"!

Sincerely,

Wayne Harrison
President

(See questionnaire on next page)

CSPRA

CSPRA is sponsoring a Retirees' Rendezvous at McConnell State Recreation Area from Thursday, September 30 to Monday, October 4, 1993.

CSPRA-Sponsored Retirees' Rendezvous

Please help us plan the best "Retirees' Rendezvous" possible by returning this questionnaire to:

Kirk M. Wallace
P.O. Box 687
Mi-Wuk Village, CA 95346
(209) 586-3209

____ I am interested. Please keep me informed.

____ I have my own equipment. ____RV

____Tent

____ Please send me a list of local motels.

____ Count me in! I want to help

with _____

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ ZIP _____

Phone # (____) _____

Please help us include everyone. If you have the name and address of any DPR employee who has retired or left our Park Family in the last two years please list that information below. We would rather have duplicate information than miss or lose track of someone. Thanks!

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ ZIP _____

Phone # (____) _____

Retired Members

<u>NAME</u>	<u>FIRST ADDRESS</u>	<u>CITY</u>	<u>STATE</u>	<u>ZIPCODE</u>	<u>PHONE NUMBER</u>
ALLEN, ROBERT	P. O. BOX 377	IGO	CA	96047-0337	
ALLISON, WILLIAM R.	96380 DAWSON RD	BROOKINGS	OR	97415	503 469-4685
ANDERSON, CARL	4201 MITCHELL RD	EUREKA	CA	95503	707 442-7273
BAKER, ALTON	5742 FOX ROAD	MERCED	CA	95348-9445	209 723-5579
BITTING, SAMUEL R.	2585 WALKER RD	SALEM	OR	97305	
BOWDOIN, JEAN	7629 HEATHER DR	STOCKTON	CA	95207-1405	
BROCK, DICK	212 TILLIE CREEK RD	WOFFORD HEIGHTS	CA	93285-9653	
BRYCE, DOUG	P. O. BOX 292010	SACRAMENTO	CA	95829-2010	916 383-0708
BUSCHKE, DALE H.	355 JACQUELINE DR	SANTA ROSA	CA	95405-5223	707 544-4899
CALDWELL, J. L.	721 CASA REAL CT	VISTA	CA	92083	714 724-3925
CALDWELL, KEITH	P. O. BOX 485	LOTUS	CA	95651-0485	916 622-5827
CATER, WESLEY E.	2870 IRONWOOD	MORRO BAY	CA	93442-1441	805 772-2832
CLINE, CHARLES G.	595 EL CAMINO REAL N	SALINAS	CA	93907	408 449-2339
COOK, INEZ JEANETTE	1796 ARMINGTON AVENUE	SACRAMENTO	CA	95832-1128	
CROWE, GEORGE B.	4002 WALLACE STREET	SAN DIEGO	CA	92110-2743	
DAVIS, JAMES G.	HALEAKALA SHORES	KIHEI, MAUI	HI	96753	
DILLINGER, WILLIAM C.	650 MILLS RD	SACRAMENTO	CA	95864-4914	916 489-4854
DOERKSEN, H. L.	30551 HOLLY BERRY LN	TEMECULA	CA	92591	909 699-2559
DONAHUE, DAVID B.	2525 BURTON DR	CAMBRIA	CA	93428-4903	
DOYLE, JAMES MIKE	2250 ROGUE RIVER DRIVE	SACRAMENTO	CA	95826-2117	
EARL, ED	1030 BESWICK WAY	ASHLAND	OR	97520	503 482-3385
ELLISTON, ROBERT	P O BOX 1278	WALNUT GROVE	CA	95690	916 776-1301
FAIT, WILLIAM	1650 OAK AVENUE	CARLSBAD	CA	92008-1935	
FEHLING, JOHN A.	INLAND REGION	LODI	CA	95240-1450	
FAHLO, GERALD	136 HAZEL LN	NIPOMO	CA	93444-9658	805 929-1380
FOGARTY, THOMAS G.	1150 VINEYARD LN	NAPA	CA	94558-1022	707 224-2275
FORSYTH, LORIN	20651 6TH ST	NUEVO	CA	92567-9690	909 928-3434
GEARY, JAMES R.	1716 ARCHER ST	SAN DIEGO	CA	92109	619 270-7975
GOTELLI, D. W.	9522 FRASCATI WAY	SANTEE	CA	92071-2628	
GRANT, DONALD E.	P. O. BOX 868	COLUMBIA	CA	95310-0868	209 532-5523
GREENE, JIM	41668 RD 415	COARSEGOLD	CA	93614	209 854-6495
HANNA, HAROLD W.	1248 QUINN RD	WOODBURN	OR	97071	503 981-6294
HANSHEW, RON	P O BOX 72	CAMBRIA	CA	93428-0072	
HARTWELL, KENT	14681 WOOD DR	MAGALIA	CA	95954	
HAUSSLER, W. J.	7120 WESTMORELAND	SACRAMENTO	CA	95831-3016	916 391-0235
HEACOX, BUD	227 SAN MIGUEL WAY	SACRAMENTO	CA	95819-1931	916 457-8845
HENDRIX, JIM	410 WAGON WHEEL WY	CORVALLIS	MT	59828	406 961-3761
HUSTON, GUY M.			CA		909 984-6084
JENKINS, RAY	41900 WILD HORSE CT	COARSEGOLD	CA	93614	209 642-2316
JOHNSTON, WALTER R.	11240 FAIR OAKS BLVD	FAIR OAKS	CA	95628-5139	916 962-2235
JUNETTE, EUGENE R.	21504 OTTOWA RD	APPLE VALLEY	CA	92308-6428	619 240-2560
KEITH, LYLE B.	P. O. BOX 153	AVERY	CA	95224-0153	209 795-4946
KISHBAUGH, GORDON T.	110 CORONADO CIR	SANTA ROSA	CA	95409-3235	707 538-3631
KNIGHT, JOHN H.	3939 WALNUT AVE #196	CARMICHAEL	CA	95608-2195	
LEMLEY, FLOYD A.	408 ANDREW AVENUE	LEUCADIA	CA	92024-1146	
LIVELY, H. L.	5538 KAMET CT	VENTURA	CA	93003-1102	805 644-6543
LONG, DANA B.	P. O. BOX 1269	TUBAC	AZ	85646-	602 398-9667
LONNECKER, CARL G.	140 W CALLE McCLEARY	GREEN VALLEY	AZ	85614	
LYDEN, CHARLES	142 GLENN DRIVE	FOLSOM	CA	95630-3127	916 983-7380
MARSHALL, WILLIAM E.	5081 WILDERNESS WY SP	PARADISE	CA	95969-6649	
MARTELL, WALTER F.	P O BOX 1047	MURPHYS	CA	95247	209 728-3605
MARTIN, K. W.	1441 SEVENTH ST	RIPON	CA	95366-3237	209 599-6373
MASON, JACK	RFD BOX 99-1	LOMPOC	CA	93436-9617	736-6357
McCALL, J. R.	9028 TALISMAN DR	SACRAMENTO	CA	95826-4040	
McCALL, RON	5194 PONY EXPRESS TR	CAMINO	CA	95711	916 644-0585
McCULLOUGH, RON C.	7936 LAKE CAYUGA DR	SAN DIEGO	CA	92119-3139	619 465-6951
McGRATH, DAVID	2232 EL CEJO CIR	RANCHO CORDOVA	CA	95670-3122	916 361-3185
MEHLERT, C.	25 VIA VENTURA	MONTEREY	CA	93940-4340	408 375-0316
MENEFEE, R. L.	19282 ROBINSON RD	SONOMA	CA	95476-5938	
MENTZ, ED	1386 S YALE	MERCED	CA	95340	209 725-8426
MERKEL, DALTON E.	04886 OCEANA DR	FLORENCE	OR	97439	503 997-8353
MEYER, FRED	3542 DAVID WY	SACRAMENTO	CA	95820	
MICHAEL, JOHN H.	726 CLIPPER WAY	SACRAMENTO	CA	95831-1235	916 422-0861
MILLER, DALE BURTON	1325 WINTER HAVEN RD	FALLBROOK	CA	92028-4607	
MITCHELL, CURTIS	13683 QUAKER HILL	NEVADA CITY	CA	95959-9515	

Retired Members Continued

NAME	FIRST ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIPCODE	PHONE NUMBER
MORGAN, KIRBY R.	10175 JOERSCHKE DR, #103	GRASS VALLEY	CA	95945-5205	916 272-8125
MYER, LEW	895 SIERRA VISTA, #126	LAS VEGAS	NV	89109	
NEAL, JAMES E.	545 RIVERSIDE PARK RD	CARLOTTA	CA	95528-9723	707 768-2127
NEIDER, JAMES E.	542 HART COURT	SEBASTOPOL	CA	95472-3813	707 823-2192
O'ROURKE, M. E.	500 N STREET, #708	SACRAMENTO	CA	95814-4312	916 447-7531
OLIVER, AL	3030 AVE DEL PRESIDENTE	SAN CLEMENTE	CA	92672-4433	
OLLER, MORRIE	3902 W PACE	THATCHER	AZ	85552	602 428-3259
PARIS, BETTY A.	P. O. BOX 212	LINCOLN	CA	95648-0212	916 645-1958
PERKINS, BOB	P. O. BOX 1304	CAVE JUNCTION	OR	97523	503 592-2951
RAWLINGS, RONALD	P. O. BOX 1288	PIONEER	CA	95666-1288	209 295-7852
RAY, CLYDE W.	263 GRANT ST	MARINA	CAM	93933	408 384-7145
REINHARDT, WILLIAM J.	P O BOX 115	FISHCAMP	CA	93623	
ROBISON, R. B.	P O BOX 386	CRESCENT CITY	CA	95531	
SALZGEBER, GARLAN	138 LA FLORICITA	PISMO BEACH	CA	93449	
SCHLERF, HERMAN	100 RIVERBEND RD 82	REEDSPORT	OR	97467	707 768-3057
SCHLOTTER, JACK W.	HCR-1, BOX 7160	IGO	CA	96047-9705	916 396-2609
SHOOK, HARRY B.	53-400 AVENIDA RUBIO	LA QUINTA	CA	92253-3435	619 564-2325
SMITH, KENNETH K.	30 LANCEWOOD WY	IRVINE	CA	92715	714 733-8645
STAHLBERG, WILLIAM A.	11643 DEFIANCE WY	MORENO VALLEY	CA	92557	909 242-7825
STEWART, SAMUEL	P. O. BOX 2577	LAKE ALMANOR	CA	96137-2577	916 596-3168
STOWE, JACK	4852 OLIVE RANCH RD	ROSEVILLE	CA	95746	916 791-0061
STRICKLER, CLYDE	913 JEFFERSON	LOS BANOS	CA	93635	209 826-0155
THOMAS, LARRY	3490 SANTOS STREET	TURLOCK	CA	95380-0524	209 632-7968
TUBBS, MEL	547 EL MODENA AVENUE	NEWPORT BEACH	CA	92663-5114	714 642-6352 UN
ULM, AL	1110 MOUNTAIN VIEW RD	EL CAJON	CA	92021-3862	619 447-3138
VAN DEUSEN, PHILLIP	135 CALLE DE ANDELUCIA	REDONDO BEACH	CA	90277-6702	310 375-7572
WAKEFIELD, LOUIS C.	P. O. BOX 87	TEHACHAPI	CA	93581	805 822-3498
WALLACE, KIRK	P O BOX 687	MI WUK VILLAGE	CA	95346	209 586-3209
WEISS, ALEXANDER	1957 OAK VIEW DR	OAKLAND	CA	94602	
WELDON, GEORGE	26150 OLD RAINIER RD	RAINIER	OR	97048	503 556-4070
WELTS, ALLEN	5860 SUN VALLEY WAY	SACRAMENTO	CA	95823-5222	916 422-6794
WESTBERG, RAYMOND E.	244 TALBOTT DR	GRAND JUNCTION	CO	81503	303 242-5080
WILSON, NORMAN L.	291 Russell Road	Auburn	CA	95603-4110	916 885-4850
WILSON, T. A.	5081 WILDERNESS WY 23M	PARADISE	CA	95969-6649	916 877-6916

CEU
Pesticide and Arborist
Credits Available!

*An opportunity for a
state-of-the-art education
in parks, grounds, and
facilities maintenance
management practices
and philosophies!*



A NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED SCHOOL
November 14-19, 1993

LOCATION: UCLA CONFERENCE CENTER, LAKE ARROWHEAD, CALIFORNIA

Sponsored By

*National Recreation and Park Association
California Park & Recreation Society Park Operations Section*



OFFICIAL CORPORATE SPONSOR

CALL OR WRITE FOR A BROCHURE:
NRPA PACIFIC SERVICE CENTER
1600 SACRAMENTO INN WAY, #121
SACRAMENTO, CA 95815
(916) 646-9050 • FAX (916) 646-1950

Presidents Message (Continued from page 2)

Finally, some of you may have heard about a recent issue surfacing at Anza-Borrego regarding the re-opening of Coyote Canyon to vehicle traffic after winter storms washed out much of the road that enters it. Many hoped that the department would make this an opportunity to stop an inappropriate use of park lands. As one key participant in the debate pointed out, if this was a proposal to open up Coyote Canyon for the first time, it would never be seriously considered. Others countered that it was an historic use and should be allowed to remain. Still others contend that, if Coyote Canyon should be closed, then it should not be done through opportunism, but through scientific inquiry and public policy. For whatever reason, the department agreed to open the road, and a date was set for this to happen. Then it was noted that the least bell's vireo, a federal and state listed endangered species, was nesting in the riparian area of the road. A letter from the Department of Fish & Game warned of a potential violation of the California Endangered Species Act if vehicles were allowed back in, although subsequent discussions with DFG resulted in the letter being withdrawn.

Does the issue still remain? Unfortunately, yes. In the absence of any studies to support the wisdom of its action, the department has placed the burden of proof on those who fear the environmental consequences. While the letter of the law (in this case, CEQA) was followed, the spirit of the law was thus denied: for both the National Environmental Policy Act and the California version were clearly established as a means to make public agencies responsible to determine the impacts of their actions.

I hope that, before the next nesting season, the California Park Service will take the initiative to conduct a thorough and meaningful analysis of the consequences of the continued presence of a road in Coyote Canyon, and follow this with a resource and recreation operation plan for the area.

Late Breaking Developments June 25, 1993

Budget

Ken Jones reported to today that the Department has come through the process very well this year. Although the 15% cut that all departments took was extended to DPR only 1.7 million will come from the departments operating expenses. How this cut will be divided up has not been decided as yet according to Jones. The major portion of the departments cuts came from OHV and Cap Outlay funds.

Mount Diablo Grazing

Tuesday, June 22, Director Murphy and Tom Brumleve signed a legal stipulation agreeing that Mr. Brumleve would remove his cattle by July 11. This, we hope, will end a very long battle over grazing on Mount Diablo.

Lake Elsinore

Lake Elsinore SRA has been transferred to the City of Lake Elsinore and the East Valley Municipal Water District. The transfer is effective on July 1. There will be a three year phase out of operations by the State Park System according to Ken Jones, Deputy Director.

Oversight

Last issue we forgot to include with our raffle prizes that Peggy Whitehead donated 3 certificates good for registration for next years annual workshop. Winners included John Mott, Mike Muetz and an unknown female.

July

**Have
a cool
summer!**

1993

**California State Park Rangers Assoc
P. O. Box 292010
Sacramento, CA 95829-2010**

Mail to:



Recycled & Recyclable

**The California State Park
Rangers Association
A professional association.**

Office Manager - Newsletter Editor
Doug Bryce
P.O. Box 292010
Sacramento, CA 95829-2010
Local and outside California
(916) 558-3734
Long distance (800) 994-2530
FAX (916) 387-1179

President
Wayne Harrison
Associate State Park Resource Ecologist
Calaveras Big Trees
(209) 795-2334

Vice President
Rick Sermon
District Superintendent
Asilomar State Beach
(408) 372-4087

Secretary/Treasurer
Adrian Itaya
Four Rivers District
State Park Ranger I
(209) 826-1196

**CSPRA
Calendar**

Board Meeting
8/3/93

**Retirees
Rendezvous
McConnell SRA**
9/30-10/4/93

Fall Workshop
Monterey Bay
10/15-17/93

1994 Workshop
Riverside
3/7 - 10/94

Directors

David A. Nelson
State Park Superintendent
Plumas Eureka SP
(916) 836-2380

Kathryn Yee
State Park Ranger I
Candlestick Point SRA
(415) 557-4069

Vacant

Save Bodie! and all other
committees use CSPRA address.

**For additional information on the
association, write the
Office Manager.**

The NEWSLETTER (ISSN 0887-9176) is published by CSPRA, P.O. Box 292010, Sacramento, CA 95829. The NEWSLETTER'S Editor is Doug Bryce; contributing editors and authors are as noted. Articles are welcome, 1000 words or less. All submissions become the property of CSPRA and may be edited without notice. **The deadline for articles is the 15th of the month prior to publication.**